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**Instructions:**

1. **This paper is for III semester JIN/JPP students.**
2. **This paper has TWO parts and FIVE questions.**
3. **Answer the questions as instructed and mention word limits.**
4. **You may use the dictionary.**

**Part - A**

1. **Read the following extract from a piece on the court judgement of Disha Ravi after she was arrested on the charges of Sedition as she had links with a secessionist organisation, PJF; when it came to the editing of a social media toolkit on Farmer’s Protest.**

In Disha Ravi’s case, it very correctly noted that “conspiracy cannot be proved merely on the basis of inferences. The inferences have to be backed by evidence” (**para 22**). Dealing with the Prosecution’s contention that Disha Ravi was in contact with secessionists, the Court then noted:

*“… it is not mere engagement with persons of dubious credentials which is indictable rather it is the purpose of engagement which is relevant for the purpose of deciding culpability. Any person with dubious credentials may interact with a number of persons during the course of his social intercourse. As long as the engagement/interaction remains within the four corners of law,* *people interacting with such persons, ignorantly, innocently or for that matter even fully conscious of their dubious credentials, cannot be painted with the same hue. In the absence of any evidence to the effect that the applicant/accused agreed or shared a common purpose to cause violence on 26.01.2021 with the founders of PJF, it cannot be presumed by resorting to surmises or conjectures that she also supported the secessionist tendencies or the violence caused on 26.01.2021, simply because she shared a platform with people, who have gathered to oppose the legislation.” (****para 22****)*

**I.A Answer ANY TWO of the following questions in 150-200 words each. (2x10=20)**

* 1. “…people interacting with such persons, ignorantly, innocently or for that matter even fully conscious of their dubious credentials, cannot be painted with the same hue.” What is the hue in the statement? Why is this statement important to the case?
  2. “Let truth and falsehood grapple together in an open arena and ultimately the truth shall prevail.” How does this sentence make sense to you in this context?
  3. What do you think about the “people gathered to oppose the legislation”? What is the legistlation?

**I.B Answer the following question. (1x10=10)**

3. Using the following pointers, furnish a headline (7-12 words), deck (~20 words) and a lead (30-35 words):

* Four months ago, speaking at the Independence Day parade, Chief Minister Barendar Sodi promised to donate a sum of ten lakh of his own money to veterans’ groups.
* But it wasn’t until last night that he began to fulfill that pledge.
* Sodi, speaking at a public meeting yesterday in Neelasandra, promised the entire sum to a single charity. “I am going to donate a month’s salary,” he said.
* This happened only after facing pressure from the media and requests from veterans’ groups.

**Part B**

**II. Answer the following question in 150-200 words. (2x15=30)**

1. “The smell of Chai.”  
   Use this prompt to locate a specific place/person in your memory. Give a title appropriate to the memory.
2. a. Use your dictionary and explain why ‘current affairs’ are known as current  
    affairs.
3. Which news development you have observed is closest to your heart. Why?

------END------